Comparing the Power Losses of Power Supplies for Fast-Field Cycling Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Equipment
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Abstract. The main feature of the Fast-Field Cycling (FFC) Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) power supplies is to drive a controlled current fulfilling the requirements of this technique. This feature allows fast switching the current of the FFC magnet and performing accurate and repetitive current cycles. When designing this equipment the power losses is not a fundamental parameter of the optimization process but are estimated in order to validate the topology of the final solution giving that the efficiency of the power solution influences the power consumption, and therefore the operational costs, which should be minimized.

Under this context, from the power efficiency viewpoint, the power losses of two possible solutions are compared and discussed in this paper. Typical FFC current cycles are used as reference in order to balance the pros and cons of both solutions.
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1. Introduction

Fast-Field Cycling (FFC) Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) power supplies integrate a complex apparatus, which is composed by the following main blocks [1]-[5]:

- Power supply;
- Magnet;
- Signal acquisition system;
- RF circuit/Excitation system;
- Computer;
- Probe head and sample holder.

The topology and performance of these power supplies depend strongly on the electrical parameters of the FFC-NMR magnet. For other hand, the requirements of this experimental technique have been fulfilled taking advantage of the power electronics devices and topologies [5]-[11].

Comparisons between FFC-NMR solutions are generically done considering the magnetic field range...
and the dynamics obtained when cycling the magnetic flux density or the magnet current [12]-[18]. In the present case, two solutions supplying the same magnet are compared based on their power losses. The described solutions cover a flux density range from 0 to 10A.

2. Electric Circuits

The comparison between the two power supplies will be done based on the power losses and power consumption during typical magnet current cycles. Both solutions should present similar dynamics, i.e., should be able to control the magnet current from 0 to 10A and the magnet current transients should occur within the milliseconds range.

A. Solution A

The global circuit of the Solution A is represented in Fig. 2.

Fig. 2. Global circuit of the Solution A

The Solution A is constituted by two voltage sources (V and Vaux), diodes (D, Daux and DRL), switches (IGBTs S and Saux) and a RC filter (Ci and Ri), being Rm and Lm the resistance and self-inductance of the magnet, respectively.

The Solution A operation principle depends on the state of the switches (S and Saux), being typical to define 3 operation modes: Up, Steady-state and Down.

A.1 - Up mode

During the Up mode the switch Saux is “ON” and the switch S is “OFF”, corresponding to the equivalent circuit represented in Fig. 3. Neglecting the diodes drop voltage, the electrical equation corresponding to the Up mode is:

\[ V_{aux} \approx \gamma_{aux} (1 - \gamma) \left( L_m \frac{dI_m}{dt} + R_m I_m \right) \]  (1)

A.2 - Steady-state mode

During the steady-state mode the switch S is under an “ON/OFF” control and the switch Saux is “OFF”, corresponding to the equivalent circuit represented in Fig. 4. The electrical equation corresponding to the Steady-state mode (neglecting the diodes drop voltage) is:

\[ V \approx \gamma (1 - \gamma_{aux}) \left( L_m \frac{dI_m}{dt} + R_m I_m \right) \]  (2)

A.3 - Down mode

During the Down mode the switches S and Saux are “OFF”, corresponding to the equivalent circuit represented in Fig. 5. Neglecting the diodes drop voltage, the electrical equation corresponding to the Down mode is:

\[ \frac{1}{C} \int I_m dt \approx (1 - \gamma - \gamma_{aux}) \left( L_m \frac{dI_m}{dt} + R_m I_m \right) \]  (3)

Fig. 3. Equivalent circuit for the Up mode – Solution A

Fig. 4. Equivalent circuit for the Steady-state mode – Solution A

Fig. 5. Equivalent circuit for the Down mode – Solution A

The states of the switches for each operation mode are summarized in Table I.
TABLE I – States of the switches – Solution A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solution</th>
<th>Up</th>
<th>Steady-state</th>
<th>Down</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma_1$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma_{aux}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It should be referred that to avoid short-circuits between the voltage source $V$ and the auxiliary voltage source $V_{aux}$, a dead time is considered between the sequences “OFF–ON” of the switches $S$ and $S_{aux}$.

B. Solution B

The global circuit of the Solution A is represented in Fig. 6.

Solution B owns 5 switches ($IGBTs\ S_1, S_2, S_3, S_{aux}, S_{aux}$), 4 diodes ($D_1, D_2, D_{aux}, D_{aux}$) and 3 voltage sources ($V_1, V_2, V_{aux}$). It includes also 2 RC filters ($R_C, C_t$ and $R_{aux}, C_{aux}$). The magnet used to analyze this circuit is the same of Solution A ($R_m, L_m$).

For Solution B, the magnet current is controlled in order to set the magnet current control under the following operation modes: Up, Steady-state, Down and Compensation.

B.1 - Up mode

During the Up mode the switch $S_{aux}$ is “ON” and the other switches are “OFF”, corresponding to the equivalent circuit represented in Fig. 6. Neglecting the diodes drop voltage, the electrical equation corresponding to the Up mode is:

$$V_{aux} \approx \gamma_{aux}(1 - \gamma_{2aux})(1 - \gamma_1 - \gamma_2 - \gamma_3)(L_m \frac{dl_m}{dt} + R_m l_m)$$

(4)

B.2 - Steady-state mode:

During the steady-state mode the switches $S_1$ and $S_3$ are under “ON/OFF” control and the other switches are “OFF”, corresponding to the equivalent circuit represented in Fig. 7. The electrical equation corresponding to the Steady-state mode (neglecting the diodes drop voltage) is:

$$\gamma_1 \gamma_3 V_1 \approx (1 - \gamma_1 - \gamma_{1aux} - \gamma_{2aux})(L_m \frac{dl_m}{dt} + R_m l_m)$$

(5)

B.3 - Down mode

During the Down mode the switches $S_1$ and $S_{aux}$ are “ON” according to the instantaneous voltage drop of the magnet and the other switches are “OFF”, corresponding to the equivalent circuit represented in Fig. 8. Neglecting the diodes drop voltage, the electrical equation corresponding to the Down mode is:

$$L_m \frac{dl_m}{dt} + R_m l_m \approx \gamma_3 \frac{1}{c_{aux}} \int i_m \, dt \approx -\gamma_{2aux} V_3 \frac{1}{c_{aux}} \int i_m \, dt$$

(6)

B.4 - Compensation mode:

The Compensation mode is set for low current values of the magnet. This procedure is mandatory in order to eliminate the effect of the Earth magnetic field, memory effect of the magnetic circuits and parasitic currents. During the Compensation mode, it is typical to invert the magnet current, being the load current driven by the voltage source $V_2$. In this case, the switch $S_2$ is “ON” and the other switches are “OFF”, corresponding to the equivalent circuit represented in Fig. 9. Neglecting the diodes drop voltage, the electrical equation corresponding to the Compensation mode is:
\[ V_C \approx \gamma_2 (1 - \gamma_1 - \gamma_3) \left( L_m \frac{di_m}{dt} + R_m i_m \right) \]  

(6)

Fig. 9. Equivalent circuit for the Compensation mode – Solution B

The states of the switches for the Solution B operation modes are summarized in Table II.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solution B</th>
<th>Up</th>
<th>Steady-state</th>
<th>Down</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \gamma_1 )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \gamma_2 )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \gamma_3 )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \gamma_{1_{aux}} )</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \gamma_{2_{aux}} )</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Joule and switches losses

To compare the power consumption and power losses of Solution A and Solution B, two typical current cycles, with the characteristics shown in Fig. 10, are used.

Fig. 10. Typical current cycles

As first approach, the power losses are due to the switches losses (switching losses and conduction losses of the switches) and the Joule losses of the magnet. The power losses along a typical current cycle, change as represented in Fig. 11.

Fig. 11. Power losses along a current cycle (\( V_{CE} \)- voltage drop of the switches; \( i_{m,Up} \) – steady-state magnet current during the Up mode; \( i_{m,Down} \) – steady-state magnet current during the Down mode)

A. Solution A

Solution A was analysed considering the two typical cycles represented in Fig. 10 with the characteristics summarized in Table III.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CYCLE</th>
<th>0-10A</th>
<th>5-10A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Period of the cycle [ms]</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta t ) [ms] – Up mode</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta t ) [ms] – Steady-state mode – 10A</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>48.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta t ) [ms] – Down mode</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta t ) [ms] – Steady-state mode – 0A</td>
<td>46.5</td>
<td>48.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta i_m ) [A] (( i_{m,Up} ) – ( i_{m,Down} ))</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( VCE1 ) [V]</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( VCE2 ) [V]</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>19.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( VCE3 ) [V]</td>
<td>786.4</td>
<td>786.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( VCE4 ) [V]</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>34.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( V[V] )</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( V_{aux} ) [V]</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_m ) [( \Omega )]</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( L_{aux} ) [mH]</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The power losses and the power supplied for both cycles are represented in Fig. 12 and Fig. 13.
Fig. 12. Power losses and power supplied along the 0-10A cycle – Solution A

Fig. 13. Power losses and power supplied along the 5-10A cycle – Solution A

B. Solution B

Solution B was analysed considering the two typical cycles represented in Fig. 10 with the characteristics summarized in Table IV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CYCLE</th>
<th>0-10A</th>
<th>5-10A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Period of the cycle [ms]</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta t$ [ms] – Up mode</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta t$ [ms] – Steady-state mode – 10A</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>48.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta t$ [ms] – Down mode</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta t$ [ms] – Steady-state mode – 0A</td>
<td>46.5</td>
<td>48.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta t_{m}$ [A] ($i_{m,Up} - i_{m,Down}$)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{CE1}$ [V]</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{CE2}$ [V]</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{CE3}$ [V]</td>
<td>786.4</td>
<td>786.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{CE4}$ [V]</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{1} = V_{2}$ [V]</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{aux}$ [V]</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{m}$ [Ω]</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_{m}$ [mH]</td>
<td></td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For Solution B, the power losses and the power supplied for both cycles are represented in Fig. 14 and Fig. 15.

Fig. 14. Power losses and power supplied along the 0-10A cycle – Solution B

Fig. 15. Power losses and power supplied along the 5-10A cycle – Solution B

4. Conclusion

The solutions presented in this paper are analysed considering the specifications and requirements of the FFC-NMR technique.

Comparing the power losses and power consumption for both solutions, it should be referred that under similar conditions:

- the Joule losses, the switches losses and the power consumption are similar for Solutions A and B;
- Solution A is simpler considering the number of semiconductors/switches required and the complexity of the control system;
- concerning the requirements of the FFC-NMR technique, Solution B includes features, as for instance the compensation of the Earth magnetic field or parasitic currents, which are difficult to achieve with Solution A.

Considering the factors above, it is clear that Solution B presents advantages. Anyway, depending on the characteristics of the FFC magnet, Solution A can be adopted constituting an adequate solution not only from the technical point of view since from the economical viewpoint is a less expensive option.
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